2019 HEALTH SERVICES OFFICER CATEGORY PROMOTION BENCHMARKS

PY 2019 Guidance Regarding Promotion Precepts and Benchmarks for Commissioned Corps Officers

Officers competing for promotion are rated on the five Promotion Precepts described in the electronic Commissioned Corps Issuance System (eCCIS) Instructions 331.01 (old CCPM 23.4.1) "Permanent Grade Promotions", and 332.01 (old CCPM 23.4.2) "Temporary Grade Promotions", and noted below. To assist officers in better understanding the Promotion Precepts, the Precepts are described in terms of Factors. Each Factor has a Benchmark, which is a level of achievement for the officer given the category and grade.

The purpose of this Guidance is to inform officers and promotion boards of the levels of achievement per Promotion Precept generally considered to describe the "best qualified" officer for a specific category at a specific grade. This document can also benefit the officer in setting some personal long term goals for his or her career advancement.

The Chief Professional Officers (CPO) and Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) Chairs, in consultation with their constituent category members, revise the Guidance annually to reflect the ever changing missions and policies of the Corps. All five Promotion Precepts are identical for all categories, as are the Benchmarks for Promotion Precepts 1, 4 and 5. The Benchmarks for Promotion Precepts 2 and 3 are category-specific.

The benchmarks for Precepts 1 – 5 are levels of achievement and/or standards of excellence that describe the "best-qualified" officer. They serve as a basis by which officers is measured within each category. Many promoted officers will have achievements that exceed the factors for one or two precepts, but may not meet all the factors for others.

The Benchmarks are not checklist of activities that must be completed in order to be promoted. Quality and impact of an officer's service is far more important than the quantity of activities in which they participate.

The individual factors within each Precept are not listed in priority order. The importance of each factor is left to the discretion of the Promotion Boards. The members of the Promotion Boards review the service records of each officer under consideration for promotion and each assigns a score for the specific Promotion Precept. Promotion Board members exercise their professional judgment and discretion in the review and rating of each record.

There is no time period that limits which of the officer's activities and accomplishments are eligible for consideration. However, activities and accomplishments subsequent to an officer's last promotion should receive priority consideration.

The Promotion Precepts are weighted as follows:

Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's	40%
Statement (Performance)	40%
2. Education, training, and professional development	20%
3. Career progression and potential	25%
4. Professional contributions and services to the PHS Commissioned Corps (Officership)	15%
5. Basic Readiness	***0%

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Although the Readiness precept no longer carries any weight with regard to numerical score for promotion, basic readiness remains one of the several administrative checks for promotion. Officers in a "not ready" status at the 31 Dec RedDOG status report prior to the promotion year will receive an automatic Board Not Recommend. In addition, officers in a "not ready" status at the subsequent 31 March RedDOG status report, who were otherwise successful, will be removed from the successful list. Officers are advised to maintain basic readiness at all times.

Promotion Board members examine many documents in the officer's electronic Official Personnel Folder (eOPF) during the promotion review. Examples of these documents include, but are not limited to: Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER); Promotion Information Report (PIR); curriculum vitae; the Officer's and Reviewing Official's Statements; award narratives; and letters of appreciation. The most recent COERs (e.g., the last 3-5 years) are generally given the most consideration by Promotion Board members, although earlier COERs may also be reviewed.

Career development resources (e.g., Curriculum Vitae (CV) reviews, mentoring, internet training tools, career development seminars, fellow officers, serving in similar roles, etc.) provided by the PACs, agency liaisons, and the CPOs should be explored and fully utilized by all officers.

The Benchmarks may change as the Commissioned Corps continues to evolve.

PY 2016 FACTORS and BENCHMARKS FOR PROMOTION PRECEPTS

1 1 2010 THE TOTAL AND EN	1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2*	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER) Based on information contained in the Officer's Contained in the Officer's	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.	
Statement, separate from the Reviewing Official's Statement, the officer will be rated on promotion readiness as it relates to:	Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.	
 Progression of responsibility Achievement and contributions to the agency mission 	Guidance provided as needed/requested to complete assignments of moderate complexity and impact. Skill development	Guidance provided as needed/requested to complete assignments of moderate complexity and impact. Skill development	Evidence of independent performance of complex tasks requiring developed proficiency and higher responsibility with positive	Independent initiative, evidenced by development, oversight, coordination and/or leadership of projects of exceptional difficulty with	
 Personal accountability for developing skills and leadership effectiveness 	reflects potential for leadership and willingness/ability to assume increasing levels of responsibility.	reflects potential for leadership and willingness/ability to assume increasing levels of responsibility. Completes assigned duty-related	impact on the program. Demonstrated leadership of program teams or projects.	an expected level of expertise. Assumption of overall personal accountability for the involved program or project.	
	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	
	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions. The officer demonstrates	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	
	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.	they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade and	

1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2*	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6
				should currently occupy an O-6 billet.
Award History** Progression of awards, relevance to mission, quality, as well as quantity, across the career is assessed: PHS Individual and Unit Honor Awards (e.g., PHS Citation Medal, Outstanding Service Medal, Unit Commendation) Other Awards & Recognition	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for increasing levels of achievement including team or unit participation, which may result in individual or unit awards (e.g., a PHS Citation Medal or Unit Commendation). Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and recognition such as letters of	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for increasing levels of achievement that reflects superior efforts, including team or unit participation, which may result in individual or unit awards (e.g., an Achievement Medal or Unit Commendation). Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for levels of achievement that are distinctly greater than expected and which should result in progressively higher individual awards or unit recognition (e.g., a Commendation Medal or Unit Commendation). Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for levels of achievement that reflects exceptional leadership and which should result in progressively higher individual awards or unit recognition (e.g., an Outstanding Service Medal or Outstanding Unit Citation). Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and
 PHS Service Awards (e.g., Isolated Hardship Service Award, Special Assignment Service Award) 	commendation. Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.	recognition such as letters of commendation. Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.	recognition such as letters of commendation. Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.	recognition such as letters of commendation. Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.

		and Reviewing Official's S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Danahmanlas
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2*	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6
• Reviewing Official's Assessment for Promotion Readiness	Exhibits Leadership Qualities	Exhibits Leadership Qualities	Demonstrates Leadership Skills	Accomplished Leadership Role
Based on information contained in the Reviewing Official's Statement (separate from the Officer's	Recognizing junior officers with the potential and inspiration to influence.	Recognizing junior officers with the potential and inspiration to influence.	Recognizing exceptional personal leadership skill and significant potential or competence as a leader or manager.	Recognizing leaders who have moved into key leadership roles and have a proven record of influence and achievement (e.g.,
Statement), the Officer will be rated on promotion readiness as it relates to:	For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:	For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:	For example: As assessed in	Subject Matter Expert, Program Chief/Director or equivalent).
 Current Leadership Role in Command/ Agency 	a) In attributes that serve the leadership in a group, team, committee, or branch work	a) In attributes that serve the leadership in a group, team, committee, or branch work	a) In the contributions to and	For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:
 Progression of Leadership Potential 	and with the potential for team leadership or management role.	and with the potential for team leadership or management role.	support of a management, supervisory, technical or clinical expert and/or program leadership role.	a) In an executive, senior management, expert, and/or special advisory/consultant
 Contribution to the Agency Missions 	and/or b) As a member of a task	and/or b) As a member of a task	and/or	position.
,	force or similar group at, or above, the local or regional Branch or Division level. Other considerations <i>may</i>	force or similar group at, or above, the local or regional Branch or Division level. Other considerations <i>may</i>	b) As a member or leader of a task force or similar group at, or above, the local or regional Agency level.	b) As a leader of a task force or a similar group at either the regional, national or international Agency level.
	include: Authorship of publications or	include: Authorship of publications or	Other considerations <i>may</i> include:	Other considerations <i>may</i> include:
	other written communication or oral presentations that strive for increasing impact (e.g., at, or above, the local/ regional Branch, or Division level).	other written communication or oral presentations that strive for increasing impact (e.g., at, or above, the local/ regional Branch, or Division level).	Primary or secondary authorship of publications or other written communication or oral presentations that strive for increasing impact (e.g., at or above the local or regional Agency level).	Primary or secondary authorship of publications or other written communication or oral presentations that strive for increasing impact (e.g., at either the regional, national or international Agency level).
		activities that contribute to the Agency/PHS mission.	Engages in collateral activities that contribute to the Agency/PHS mission.	Evidence that career duties and collateral activities contribute to visibility and impact of the Agency/PHS

	1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2*	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
				Commissioned Corps mission.	

^{* -} Temporary O2 and O3 promotions for all categories and Temporary O4 promotions for the Medical and Dental Categories are determined by an administrative file review as outlined in Commissioned Corps Instruction (CCI) 332.01 (old CC 23.4.2, 6-2). Officers are encouraged to use the Factors and Benchmarks listed for T-O4/P-O2 & O3 for career development purposes.

2. Education, Training, & Professional Development					
Factor	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6		
Degrees and/or Certifications/ Credentialing, Licensure (beyond that required for appointment)	- Bachelor's - Advanced practice credentials or certification in field or other related area (e.g., EMT or Diplomat status, CHES, MCHES, and CPH) that serves to advance your career - Member in discipline certifying body or college.	 Master's Advanced practice credentials or certification in field or other related area (e.g., EMT or Diplomat status) that serves to advance your career Member in discipline certifying body or college. 	- Master's or PhD - Advanced practice credentials or certification in field or other related area (e.g., EMT or Diplomat status) that serves to advance your career - Fellow in discipline certifying body or college.		
Continuing Education and Training	Accumulate the minimum number of continuing education (CE/CME) credits per year or equivalent that meets or	Accumulate the minimum number of continuing education (CE/CME) credits per year or equivalent that meets or	Accumulate the minimum number of continuing education (CE/CME) credits per year or equivalent that meets or		

^{** -} Please refer to CCI 511.01 (old CC 27.1.1) Awards Program for a description of the Honor and Service Awards.

	exceeds the national and/or state standard for the officer's qualifying degree (standard provided by the officer).	exceeds the national and/or state standard for the officer's qualifying degree (standard provided by the officer). Identifies and develops educational programs for a broader public health audience at the local or regional level.	exceeds the national and/or state standard for the officer's qualifying degree (standard provided by the officer). Identifies and develops educational programs for a broader public health audience at the national or international level.
Public Health Training/Experience beyond initial degree (can also be counted in continuing education)	Evidence of additional course work or experience in public health or course work related to job that contributes to current or future PHS assignments (e.g., Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Bioterrorism, or National Security).	Evidence of advanced course work or experience in public health or course work related to job that contributes to current or future PHS assignments (e.g., Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Bioterrorism, or National Security). Assisting in developing course work for public health training that builds upon past experiences.	Evidence of advanced course work or experience in public health or course work related to job that contributes to current or future PHS assignments (e.g., Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Bioterrorism, or National Security). Creates and leads new programs in public health.

3. Career Progression and Potential						
Factor	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/PO6			
Pillar Assignment	Officer er	Officer encumbers a position that meets one of the five pillars.				
Billet level	At least O-4 At least O-5 The Officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade. At least O-6 The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade. At least O-6 The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.					

Assignments	Shows progression in responsibility, leadership and independence Independently conducts projects of moderate complexity with limited guidance	Independently performing professional tasks. Provides leadership as a team or project leader	Expert in their area with responsibility for independently conducting/leading projects.
Mobility (Programmatic and/or Geographic)	No minimum level [Combined programmatic and/or geographic moves]	1 or more during career that demonstrates increasing levels of responsibility and/or enhances knowledge and skills. [Combined programmatic and/or geographic moves]	3 or more during career that demonstrates increasing levels of responsibility and/or enhances knowledge and skills. [Combined programmatic and/or geographic moves] -May consider fewer moves for an expert or specialist as long as moves reflect increasing responsibility and leadership
Collateral Duties	Documented participation of significant or meaningful involvement in at least1 organizational collateral duty/activity at the local/institutional level.	Documented participation of significant or meaningful involvement in at least 2 organizational collateral duties/activities at the local/institutional level.	Documented participation of significant or meaningful involvement in at least 3 organizational collateral duties/activities with increased responsibility at the local/institutional level.

4. Pr	4. Professional Contributions & Services to the PHS Commissioned Corps (Officership)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2*	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Honor/ Integrity/Duty	Displaying honor and integrity as an officer.				
As a USPHS Officer	Completes mandatory CC training				
 Honor and integrity are the consistent regard for the highest standards of behaviors and the refusal to violate one's personal and professional codes. Duty is the free acceptance of a commitment to service. 	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations. No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing	
Officer CC Contributions Significant contributions are based on information contained in the Officer's Statement, CV, and documented in letters of appreciation. Examples	Appointed member or volunteer.	Appointed member or volunteer.	Appointed member or volunteer who leads subcommittee or demonstrates substantive role.	Appointed member or volunteer who serves as Chair or Vice-Chair, or leads subcommittees, or demonstrates substantive role.	
may include: o Membership/ Leadership/ Involvement in PAC and Advisory Groups (e.g., Junior Officers Advisory Group, Minority Officers Liaison Council)	Evidence that [CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the local level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the local level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the regional level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the regional, national or international level.	
Recruitment Activities		Documented recruitment activities	Documented recruitment activities	Documented recruitment activities	

4. Pr	4. Professional Contributions & Services to the PHS Commissioned Corps (Officership)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2*	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3*	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
 Mentoring Professional contributions Commitment to professional development and officer visibility, i.e while in uniform. Significant contributions are based on information contained in the CV, and documented in letters of appreciation, awards, etc. Examples may include: Membership/ Involvement in Professional, Uniformed Service, and Specialty Organizations 	Participates as a protégé in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities Active member at the local, level	Participates as a protégé in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities. Active member at the local, regional, levels.	Participates as a primary or supportive mentor in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities Seeks mentors within peers or higher level Completes a formal mentor assignment verified via letter from PAC, Advisory Group, Agency leadership, etc. Recruits other mentors to support professional development of peers. Active member at the regional, or national, or levels. Serves as contributing member to the organization through a committee or subcommittee.	Participates as a primary mentor in regular one-on-one or group mentoring activities. Seeks mentors within peers or higher level. Completes a formal mentor assignment verified via letter from PAC, Advisory Group, Agency leadership, etc. Recruit, train, support and manage other mentors for the professional development of other officers. Active member at the national or international levels. Serves in a leadership role in the organization such as subcommittee Chair or Chair of the organization.	
Presentations and Outreach	Participation at local and regional meetings or activities of professional organizations	Presentations and/or outreach at local and regional meetings or activities of professional organizations	Presentations and/or outreach regional meetings or activities of professional organizations Evidence of greater visibility in promoting the Corps to broader audiences.	Presentations and/or outreach regional, national or international meetings or activities of professional organizations Sought out by meeting planners for presentations with evidence of greater impact in support of Corps/Agency missions.	

^{* -} Temporary O2 and O3 promotions for all categories and Temporary O4 promotions for the Medical and Dental Categories are determined by an administrative file review as outlined in CCI 332.01 (old CC23.4.2, 6-2). Officers are encouraged to use the Factors and Benchmarks listed for T-O4/P-O2 & O3 for career development purposes.

5.Readiness						
Factor Benchmarks Benchmarks Benchmarks Benchmarks Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3 T-O5/P-O4 T-O6/P-O5/P-O6						
NA	Officer meets and maintains Basic Readiness Standards.					

Note: Officers may submit a request for a temporary medical waiver to the Medical Affairs for medical issues that would prevent an Officer from achieving or maintaining readiness status.